UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,604	01/28/2004	Haixiang Liang	14541US02	5206
	7590 06/30/201 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
	DISON STREET	CHEN, QING		
CHICAGO, IL	60661	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2191	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/767,604	LIANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Qing Chen	2191	

	Qing Chen	2101	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ii)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of Appeal has been filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Anna Dana/		
	/Anna Deng/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2191	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding the Applicant's arguments on page 10 to page 17 of the "Remarks" pertaining to the rejections of the claims made under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the Applicant asserts that nowhere in Abdelilah is there any disclosure regarding fully recording input information arriving at one or both of the first input and the second input. Applicant's arguments are fully considered, but found to be not persuasive for at least the following reasons:

First, with respect to the Applicant's assertion that nowhere in Abdelilah is there any disclosure regarding fully recording input information arriving at one or both of the first input and the second input, as previously pointed out in the Final Rejection (mailed on 04/19/2010) and further clarified hereinafter, the Examiner respectfully submits that Abdelilah clearly discloses "a recording module processor communicatively coupled to the first input and the second input that operates to fully record input information arriving at one or both of the first input and the second input during real-time operation of the modem device for subsequent non-real-time analysis" (see Column 9: 66 and 67 to Column 10: 1-49, "... the teachings of the present invention are particularly directed to environments in which both a primary path and a secondary path are available to the DSP memory 345 to provide for monitoring operations to occur in real time while a communication connection is active through the modern. As is evident from the types of information identified above which may be monitored according to the present invention, a significant amount of performance information can be tracked during a communication connection, for example, on a minute-by-minute basis or responsive to detection of the occurrence of certain events. The monitoring system of the present invention may be utilized to monitor internal states of the modem 310 or state transitions of one or more state machines implemented within the modern 310 and to selectively record specified parameters out of the total set of parameters available within the DSP memory 345 during state conditions where the selected parameters are significant or of potential interest to a diagnostic user." and "Information may be collected on a real time basis and recorded during the life of a connection. Furthermore, information about disconnects may be gathered and throughput for a connection can be estimated. In addition, data may also be collected when a connection is being attempted, in other words, during the startup phases before a connection is in use for data communication." and "Furthermore, as performance information may be collected on a real-time basis during a connection, pertinent data may be preserved which might otherwise be lost as a result of an event causing diagnostic data in the DSP memory 345 to be overwritten (for example, during retrains). The performance data may be recorded while the user of the client modem 310 is actively connected to a remote server modem in a normal manner such as through a service provider end user application (e.g. AOL, IGN Dialer and Windows Dial-up Networking) executing on the host system 300. Performance data may be obtained throughout the active connection operations including both the startup phases and during data communication as well as the disconnect procedures."). Note that Abdelilah's invention is directed to monitoring the performance of a modem which may be able to obtain data in real-time. Abdelilah discloses that real-time modem performance data, internal states of the modem, modem communication data, and modem startup and disconnect data, etc. are recorded during the life of a connection of the modern. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily comprehend that pertinent data and information related to the performance of the modern must be fully recorded in order to provide a complete analysis of the performance of the modern at a later time. For further clarification, the Examiner also submits that in order for Abdelilah's invention to monitor the performance of a modern, all data related to the performance of the modern must be recorded. Otherwise, the manufacturer of the modern would not be able to completely monitor the performance of the modem and diagnose any performance problems of the modem due to insufficient performance data collected.

Second, the Examiner further submits that in the "Remarks" (received on 01/27/2010), the Applicant states that the Applicant's specification explicitly teaches fully recording the information that is input to a real-time communication device in paragraphs [11], [22], and [29]. Paragraphs [11], [22], and [29] of the Applicant's specification discloses that the recorded input information are the data, samples, and commands of a communication device, such as a modern. Abdelilah clearly discloses recording the data, samples, and commands of a modern. As discussed in the first reason hereinabove, Abdelilah's invention records real-time modern performance data, internal states of the modern, modern communication data, and modern startup and disconnect data, etc. during the life of a connection of the modern. One of ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that the various pertinent data and information recorded are the data, samples, and commands of the modern.

Therefore, for at least the reasons set forth above, the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) with respect to Claim 9 and the rejections made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) with respect to Claims 19 and 27 are proper and therefore, maintained.